



Digital auscultation in PERCH: Associations with chest radiography and pneumonia mortality in children

Eric D. McCollum MD, MPH^{1,2} | Daniel E. Park MSPH^{3,4} |
 Nora L. Watson PhD⁵ | Nicholas S. S. Fancourt PhD³ | Christopher Focht MS⁵ |
 Henry C. Baggett MD^{6,7} | W. Abdullah Brooks MD^{2,8} |
 Stephen R. C. Howie PhD^{9,10,11} | Karen L. Kotloff MD¹² | Orin S. Levine PhD^{3,13} |
 Shabir A. Madhi PhD^{14,15} | David R. Murdoch PhD^{16,17} |
 J. Anthony G. Scott FRCP^{18,19} | Donald M. Thea MD²⁰ | Juliet O. Awori MBChB¹⁸ |
 James Chipeta PhD²¹ | Somchai Chuananon MD⁶ | Andrea N. DeLuca MHS^{3,22} |
 Amanda J. Driscoll PhD³ | Bernard E. Ebruke FMCPaed^{9,23} |
 Mounya Elhilali PhD²⁴ | Dimitra Emmanouilidou PhD²⁴ | Louis Peter Githua MSc⁹ |
 Melissa M. Higdon MPH³ | Lokman Hossain MPH⁸ | Yasmin Jahan MPH⁸ |
 Ruth A. Karron MD²⁵ | Joshua Kyalo MSc¹⁸ | David P. Moore MD^{14,26} |
 Justin M. Mulindwa MMed²¹ | Sathapana Naorat MSc⁶ | Christine Prosperi MS³ |
 Charl Verwey FcPaed (SA)^{14,26} | James E. West PhD²⁴ |
 Maria Deloria Knoll PhD³ | Katherine L. O'Brien MD³ | Daniel R. Feikin MD³ |
 Laura L. Hammitt MD^{3,18}

¹Global Program in Respiratory Sciences, Eudowood Division of Pediatric Respiratory Sciences, Johns Hopkins School of Medicine, Baltimore, Maryland, USA²Department of International Health, Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health, Baltimore, Maryland, USA³Department of International Health, International Vaccine Access Center, Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health, Baltimore, Maryland, USA⁴Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, Milken Institute School of Public Health, George Washington University, Washington, District of Columbia, USA⁵The Emmes Corporation, Rockville, Maryland, USA⁶Global Disease Detection Center, US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Collaboration, Thailand Ministry of Public Health, Mueang Nonthaburi, Nonthaburi, Thailand⁷Division of Global Health Protection, Center for Global Health, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Atlanta, Georgia, USA⁸International Centre for Diarrhoeal Disease Research, Bangladesh (icddr), Dhaka and Matlab, Bangladesh⁹Medical Research Council Unit, Basse, The Gambia¹⁰Department of Paediatrics, University of Auckland, Auckland, New Zealand¹¹Centre for International Health, University of Otago, Dunedin, New Zealand¹²Division of Infectious Disease and Tropical Pediatrics, Department of Pediatrics, Center for Vaccine Development and Global Health, University of Maryland School of Medicine, Baltimore, Maryland¹³Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation, Seattle, Washington, USA¹⁴Medical Research Council: Respiratory and Meningeal Pathogens Research Unit, University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, South Africa¹⁵Department of Science and Technology/National Research Foundation: Vaccine Preventable Diseases Unite, University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, South Africa

 This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

© 2020 The Authors. *Pediatric Pulmonology* published by Wiley Periodicals LLC

¹⁶Department of Pathology and Biomedical Science, University of Otago, Christchurch, New Zealand

¹⁷Microbiology Unit, Canterbury Health Laboratories, Christchurch, New Zealand

¹⁸Kenya Medical Research Institute-Wellcome Trust Research Programme, Kilifi, Kenya

¹⁹Department of Infectious Disease Epidemiology, London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine, London, UK

²⁰Department of Global Health, Boston University School of Public Health, Boston, Massachusetts, USA

²¹Department of Paediatrics and Child Health, University Teaching Hospital, Lusaka, Zambia

²²Department of Epidemiology, Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health, Baltimore, Maryland, USA

²³International Foundation Against Infectious Disease in Nigeria, Abuja, Nigeria

²⁴Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering, Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, Maryland, USA

²⁵Department of International Health, Center for Immunization Research, Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health, Baltimore, Maryland, USA

²⁶Department of Paediatrics, Faculty of Health Sciences, University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, South Africa

Correspondence

Eric D. McCollum, MD MPH, Global Program in Respiratory Sciences, Eudowood Division of Pediatric Respiratory Sciences, Johns Hopkins School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD.
Email: emccoll3@jhmi.edu

Abstract

Background: Whether digitally recorded lung sounds are associated with radiographic pneumonia or clinical outcomes among children in low-income and middle-income countries is unknown. We sought to address these knowledge gaps.

Methods: We enrolled 1 to 59 month old children hospitalized with pneumonia at eight African and Asian Pneumonia Etiology Research for Child Health sites in six countries, recorded digital stethoscope lung sounds, obtained chest radiographs, and collected clinical outcomes. Recordings were processed and classified into binary categories positive or negative for adventitial lung sounds. Listening and reading panels classified recordings and radiographs. Recording classification associations with chest radiographs with World Health Organization (WHO)-defined primary endpoint pneumonia (radiographic pneumonia) or mortality were evaluated. We also examined case fatality among risk strata.

Results: Among children without WHO danger signs, wheezing (without crackles) had a lower adjusted odds ratio (aOR) for radiographic pneumonia (0.35, 95% confidence interval (CI): 0.15, 0.82), compared to children with normal recordings. Neither crackle only (no wheeze) (aOR: 2.13, 95% CI: 0.91, 4.96) or any wheeze (with or without crackle) (aOR: 0.63, 95% CI: 0.34, 1.15) were associated with radiographic pneumonia. Among children with WHO danger signs no lung recording classification was independently associated with radiographic pneumonia, although trends toward greater odds of radiographic pneumonia were observed among children classified with crackle only (no wheeze) or any wheeze (with or without crackle). Among children without WHO danger signs, those with recorded wheezing had a lower case fatality than those without wheezing (3.8% vs. 9.1%, $p = .03$).

Conclusions: Among lower risk children without WHO danger signs digitally recorded wheezing is associated with a lower odds for radiographic pneumonia and with lower mortality. Although further research is needed, these data indicate that with further development digital auscultation may eventually contribute to child pneumonia care.

KEYWORDS

child, developing countries, digital auscultation, radiography, respiratory tract infections

1 | INTRODUCTION

According to 2017 global estimates, pneumonia is the leading infectious cause of death among children 1–59 months of age annually.¹ About 80% of these deaths occur in sub-Saharan Africa and southern Asia.¹ Child pneumonia management recommendations in sub-Saharan African and southern Asian countries are commonly based on World Health Organization (WHO) guidelines which are highly sensitive to ensure children likely to have bacterial pneumonia receive antibiotics.^{2–4}

Recent research from the Pneumonia Etiology Research for Child Health (PERCH) study suggests that the epidemiology of lower respiratory infections among children in developing countries is shifting towards viral causes, a transition likely accelerated by the introduction of *Haemophilus influenzae* type b and pneumococcal conjugate vaccines in these regions.^{5,6} This epidemiologic transition, along with rising rates of antimicrobial resistance, has important implications for application of the WHO guidelines.⁷ Both issues potentially escalate the need for the guidelines to reduce misdiagnosis and antibiotic overtreatment. Ancillary diagnostics that are feasible for low-income and middle-income countries (LMICs), are needed.

The acoustic stethoscope is an important diagnostic tool, its origins dating back to the early 1800s.⁸ While many consider chest auscultation with a stethoscope synonymous with medical care, it is not included as a diagnostic in the WHO pneumonia primary care guidelines where most children first access care. This is likely because teaching lung auscultation with acoustic stethoscopes requires medical expertise and time, both of which are not readily available in often overburdened primary care clinics in LMICs. Furthermore, lung sound interpretation with acoustic stethoscopes is largely considered subjective, achieving modest agreement between experienced physicians.^{9,10} Children pose additional challenges given their breathing patterns can vary, as can their cooperation, contributing to breath-to-breath lung sound variation.

Digital stethoscopes may overcome these challenges. They are portable electronic devices that can noninvasively transmit, filter, and amplify lung sounds for real-time human interpretation.¹¹ Digital stethoscopes can also record lung sounds to allow post-processing of sound recordings, more rigorous human interpretation, and computerized automated analysis.^{12,13} In LMICs with limited resources, digitally recorded lung sounds have the potential for use in research and, with further advancements, as a point-of-care respiratory diagnostic during clinical care or in the emerging field of telemedicine. Currently little is known whether digitally recorded lung sounds, when interpreted acoustically by humans, are associated with clinical outcomes or radiographic disease among children in LMICs.

During PERCH we used a digital stethoscope to record lung sounds from children 1–59 months of age hospitalized with WHO-defined clinical pneumonia in six sub-Saharan African and South Asian countries.¹⁴ Our objectives for this research were twofold. First, we aimed to evaluate the association of digitally recorded lung sounds with WHO-defined radiographic primary endpoint pneumonia, and,

second, we sought to determine whether digitally recorded lung sounds are associated with mortality among PERCH children with WHO-defined clinical pneumonia.

2 | MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 | PERCH enrollment

The PERCH study prospectively enrolled hospital cases and community controls over a 2-year period at each site in seven countries in Africa and Asia.⁵ As previously described, from December 2012 to January 2014 hospitalized children 1–59 months of age who were eligible for PERCH in Bangladesh, The Gambia, Kenya, South Africa, Thailand, and Zambia could have their lung sounds recorded during enrollment; the Mali site did not participate.¹⁴ Cases were eligible if 1–59 months old and they met pre-2013 WHO severe or very severe pneumonia criteria (Table 1). If the child with chest indrawing in the absence of danger signs was found to be wheezing during enrollment screening they received bronchodilator treatment, and if chest indrawing was present and subsequently resolved after treatment they were excluded.⁵ Antero-posterior chest radiographs were obtained on cases at admission and interpreted by a panel of physicians standardized to interpret chest radiographs per the WHO research methodology.^{15,16} See Table 1 for WHO chest radiograph classifications. Discharge status and hospital outcome were recorded, and children discharged alive were followed up 30 days after hospital admission to obtain vital status. PERCH clinical study staff, which included a mix of nurses, nonphysician clinicians, and physicians, which varied by study site, received intensive clinical training on respiratory assessments and laboratory and radiographic procedures before study commencement and throughout the study at regular frequencies.^{17,18}

2.2 | Digital auscultation sampling in PERCH

Sampling of children for lung sound recordings varied by site.¹⁴ All cases in Matlab and Dhaka, Bangladesh, Sa Kaeo, and Nakhon Phanom, Thailand, and Lusaka, Zambia were consecutively enrolled between September and December 2013, March 2013 and January 2014, and November 2012 and October 2013, respectively. Due to human resource limitations, a convenience sample of cases was enrolled in Kilifi, Kenya between December 2012 and October 2013, in Basse, The Gambia between December 2012 and November 2013, and in Soweto, South Africa between December 2012 and August 2013.

2.3 | Lung sound recordings

All study staff were trained to record lung sounds according to a protocol using a commercial digital stethoscope (ThinkLabs ds32a).¹⁴ The same study staff that enrolled children into PERCH typically recorded

TABLE 1 Study definitions

Cases	
(Adapted from PERCH et al.)⁵	
WHO severe pneumonia	Cough and/or difficult breathing with lower chest indrawing and no WHO danger signs (central cyanosis, difficulty breastfeeding or drinking, vomiting everything, convulsions, lethargy or unconsciousness, head nodding).
WHO very severe pneumonia	Cough and/or difficult breathing with at least one danger sign.
WHO chest radiographic classifications	
(Adapted from Fancourt N et al.¹⁵ and Cherian T et al.¹⁶)	
Radiographic pneumonia ^a	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • An opacity that includes a portion or whole of a lobe, or the entire lung, that is dense or fluffy in appearance and may or may not contain air bronchograms. • An opacity of any size or density that creates a silhouette sign with the mediastinal border. • An opacity of any size or density associated with a pleural effusion in the lateral pleural space at the costophrenic angle or adjacent lateral chest wall. May not be associated with an opacity if the effusion occludes a majority of the hemithorax (opacity assumed). Pleural effusion does not include fluid in the horizontal or oblique fissures.
Other infiltrate	Densities in both lungs that appear linear, patchy, and lacy (interstitial infiltrate) includes peribronchial thickening and atelectasis; can also be smaller patchy infiltrates or atelectasis that does not meet the criteria of radiographic pneumonia.
Uninterpretable	Image is not interpretable regarding the presence or absence of radiographic pneumonia.
Digitally recorded lung sound models	
(Adapted from McCollum ED et al.¹⁴)	
Normal	Soft sounds, not musical or popping in quality.
Crackle only	Short, explosive, not musical, popping sounds; usually repetitive and occurs without wheezes.
Wheeze only	Musical sounds of long duration; can be high or low pitch and occurs without crackles.
Any wheeze	Musical sounds of long duration; can be high or low pitch and can be present with crackles.
Uninterpretable	Persistent crying or poor quality such that no full breath sounds are heard

Abbreviation: WHO, World Health Organization.

^aRadiographic pneumonia is termed “primary endpoint pneumonia” in the WHO methodology.

lung sounds on patients. Lung sound recordings were not used to inform clinical care decision-making. The stethoscope was modified with an external microphone (Sony ECM-ES30P) that recorded environmental sounds onto a voice recorder (Sony-ICD-UX71).^{12,14} Lung sounds were recorded sequentially from eight chest sites and a ninth cheek position (Figure 1). The overall recording duration was approximately 1–2 min. Study staff then deidentified the recordings and




FIGURE 1 Listening positions for sequential lung sound recordings

uploaded them onto dedicated servers. Johns Hopkins University sound engineers filtered environmental sound contaminations from recordings using an innovative automated multiband denoising filter.^{12,14}

Lung sounds were classified according to a previously described methodology.¹⁴ In brief, each lung sound was randomly assigned to two members of an expert listening panel of eight pediatricians and pediatric-experienced physicians who were standardized to interpret lung sounds according to a reference panel of previously recorded lung sounds.¹⁴ After adjudicating interpretation discrepancies, the listening panel assigned each PERCH case 1 prespecified summary lung sound classification.¹⁴ All summary lung sound classifications were then relabeled post hoc into dichotomous categories according to the hierarchy shown in Table 1. Dichotomous categories positive for abnormal lung sounds, for example, crackles or wheeze, were used as the index test for WHO-defined primary endpoint pneumonia (radiographic pneumonia) on chest radiography. Members of the chest radiograph reading panel and the lung sound listening panel were masked to the clinical information of study subjects, including lung recording and chest radiograph results.

Institutional review boards responsible for each study site and the Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health approved this study.

2.4 | Statistical analysis

To evaluate associations between lung recordings and radiographic pneumonia or death, we used the *t* test for continuous variables and the Pearson χ^2 or Fisher exact tests for categorical variables. We calculated unadjusted odds ratios (OR) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) for radiographic pneumonia (vs. normal) and mortality (vs. alive), as predicted by each lung sound model (abnormal vs. normal) using simple logistic regression. Children with missing or uninterpretable lung sound recordings, or with missing or uninterpretable chest radiographs or radiographs classified as “other infiltrate” only were excluded from analyses comparing lung sounds and chest radiographs. Multiple logistic regression was used to adjust for sex, age, and study site in multivariate analyses. We also conducted a sensitivity analysis using a random-effects regression model to evaluate the association between lung sounds and radiographic pneumonia using country as the group variable. All statistical analyses were performed using SAS (version 9.4).

3 | RESULTS

Among 792 total PERCH cases with lung sound recordings, 742 children had interpretable recordings (93.6%), 618 (78.0%) had both an interpretable recording and a 30-day outcome, and 491 children (62.0%) had an interpretable chest radiograph classified as radiographic pneumonia or normal while also having an interpretable lung recording (Figure 2). The median time between acquisition of the digital auscultation recording and chest radiograph was 3.4 h (interquartile range: 0.1–22.0 h).

We described the characteristics of digital auscultation cases in Table 2, and in Table 3 and E-Table S1 we report participant characteristics according to lung recording and chest radiograph classifications. Among the 742 children with interpretable recordings, 282 (38.0%) were classified as normal, 90 (12.1%) with crackles only, and 370 (49.8%) with wheezing (with or without crackles) (Table 2). Most of the 742 children with interpretable recordings were below one year of age (*n* = 489, 65.9%) and were from African PERCH sites (*n* = 533, 71.8%). Among the 58 children 1–11 months old with an interpretable chest radiograph and crackles only, 48.3% (*n* = 28) had radiographic pneumonia while 32.8% (*n* = 19) had a normal chest radiograph (*p* = .089) (Table 3). By contrast, among the 196 children 1–11 months old with wheezing, 57.1% (*n* = 112) had a normal chest radiograph and 20.9% (*n* = 41) had radiographic pneumonia (*p* < .01). The distribution of lung sound classifications by chest radiograph reading varied substantially across PERCH sites (Table 3).

3.1 | Digitally recorded lung sounds and WHO-defined radiographic pneumonia

In Table 4 we report on the associations between lung sound recordings and radiographic pneumonia when using normal chest radiographs as the referent. We found a lower adjusted OR (aOR) for radiographic pneumonia (aOR: 0.35; 95% CI: 0.15, 0.82) among children with WHO severe pneumonia and wheezing without crackles, relative to normal lung sounds. By contrast, among children with very severe pneumonia, wheezing with or without crackles (aOR: 2.08; 95% CI: 0.97, 4.45), or crackles only (aOR: 2.75; 95% CI: 0.87, 8.65), were associated with higher odds of radiographic




FIGURE 2 Study flow

TABLE 2 Characteristics of digital auscultation cases

Characteristic	Normal lung sound cases, n (%) N = 282	Crackle only cases, n (%) N = 90	Any wheeze	
			Wheeze only cases, n (%) N = 170	Wheeze and crackle cases, n (%) N = 200
Age				
1–11 Months	195 (69.1)	68 (75.6)	108 (63.5)	118 (59.0)
12–59 Months	87 (30.9)	22 (24.4)	62 (36.5)	82 (41.0)
Sex				
Female	132 (46.8)	44 (48.9)	60 (35.3)	81 (40.5)
PERCH site				
The Gambia	8 (2.8)	6 (6.7)	40 (23.5)	26 (13.0)
South Africa	38 (13.5)	15 (16.7)	12 (7.1)	29 (14.5)
Zambia	117 (41.5)	34 (37.8)	40 (23.5)	43 (21.5)
Kenya	62 (22.0)	14 (15.6)	27 (15.9)	22 (11.0)
Thailand	23 (8.2)	8 (8.9)	21 (12.4)	12 (6.0)
Bangladesh	34 (12.1)	13 (14.4)	30 (17.6)	68 (34.0)
Clinical				
Premature	32 (11.4)	9 (10.0)	12 (7.1)	13 (6.5)
Never breastfed	20 (7.1)	8 (8.9)	7 (4.1)	13 (6.5)
Illness duration >8 days	22 (7.8)	10 (11.1)	11 (6.5)	10 (5.0)
3 Doses PCV	55 (30.2)	8 (15.1)	35 (29.9)	38 (34.9)
HIV-infected or -exposed	65 (23.0)	18 (20.0)	18 (10.6)	24 (12.0)
Malnutrition (weight-for-age) ^a	48 (17.1)	20 (22.5)	18 (10.6)	21 (10.6)
Very severe pneumonia ^b	121 (42.9)	30 (33.3)	46 (27.1)	49 (24.5)
Fast breathing for age ^c	206 (74.4)	79 (90.8)	134 (79.8)	175 (87.9)
Lower chest wall indrawing	231 (81.9)	82 (91.1)	162 (95.3)	194 (97.0)
Hypoxemia ^d	104 (37.1)	39 (43.3)	39 (23.1)	65 (32.5)
Malaria parasitemia ^e	9 (4.8)	4 (7.4)	1 (1.0)	2 (2.3)
Anemia ^f	190 (70.1)	61 (71.8)	102 (67.1)	132 (74.6)
Chest radiograph ^g				
Radiographic pneumonia ^h	65 (25.2)	34 (41.0)	24 (14.6)	46 (24.0)
Other infiltrate only	46 (17.8)	16 (19.3)	35 (21.3)	43 (22.4)
Normal	114 (44.2)	26 (31.3)	89 (54.3)	94 (49.0)
Uninterpretable	33 (12.8)	7 (8.4)	16 (9.8)	9 (4.7)
Outcome ⁱ				
Death	37 (15.5)	11 (16.2)	11 (7.5)	11 (6.0)

Abbreviations: HIV, human immunodeficiency virus; PCV, pneumococcal conjugate vaccine.

^a<−3 Z score weight-for-age.

^bCough and/or difficult breathing with at least one danger sign.

^cRespiratory rate ≥ 60 breaths/min <2 months of age, ≥ 50 breaths/min 2–<12 months of age, ≥ 40 breaths/min ≥ 12 months of age.

^dRoom air oxygen saturation <90% in South Africa and Zambia (high altitude sites), <92% at all other sites, or on supplemental oxygen if a room air oxygen saturation reading was not available.

^eMalaria testing was done in Kenya, Gambia, and Zambia.

^fHemoglobin <7.5 g/dl.

^gForty-five children with interpretable lung recordings were missing chest radiograph results.

^hWorld Health Organization-defined radiographic primary endpoint pneumonia with or without other infiltrate.

ⁱDeath during hospitalization or <30 days after hospital discharge. A total of 107 children with interpretable lung recordings were missing an outcome.

TABLE 3 Chest radiograph findings and digital auscultation sound of interest

Characteristic ^a	Chest radiographic result	Lung sound classification			
		Normal	Crackle only	Any wheeze	Wheeze only
Age					
<12 Months	Pneumonia ^b , n/N (%)	51/147 (34.7)	28/58 (48.3)	41/196 (20.9)	16/92 (17.4)
	Other infiltrate only ^c , n/N (%)	32/147 (21.8)	11/58 (19.0)	43/196 (21.9)	24/92 (26.1)
	Normal ^d , n/N (%)	64/147 (43.5)	19/58 (32.8)	112/196 (57.1)	52/92 (56.5)
≥12 Months	Pneumonia ^b , n/N (%)	14/78 (17.9)	6/18 (33.3)	29/135 (21.5)	8/56 (14.3)
	Other infiltrate only ^c , n/N (%)	14/78 (17.9)	5/18 (27.8)	35/135 (25.9)	11/56 (19.6)
	Normal ^d , n/N (%)	50/78 (64.1)	7/18 (38.9)	71/135 (52.6)	37/56 (66.1)
Sex					
Female	Pneumonia ^b , n/N (%)	32/98 (32.7)	13/37 (35.1)	27/128 (21.1)	9/52 (17.3)
	Other infiltrate only ^c , n/N (%)	23/98 (23.5)	10/37 (27.0)	35/128 (27.3)	13/52 (25.0)
	Normal ^d , n/N (%)	43/98 (43.9)	14/37 (37.8)	66/128 (51.6)	30/52 (57.7)
Male	Pneumonia ^b , n/N (%)	33/127 (26.0)	21/39 (53.8)	43/203 (21.2)	15/96 (15.6)
	Other infiltrate only ^c , n/N (%)	23/127 (18.1)	6/39 (15.4)	43/203 (21.2)	22/96 (22.9)
	Normal ^d , n/N (%)	71/127 (55.9)	12/39 (30.8)	117/203 (57.6)	59/96 (61.5)
PERCH site					
The Gambia	Pneumonia ^b , n/N (%)	1/6 (16.7)	2/6 (33.3)	8/60 (13.3)	4/36 (11.1)
	Other infiltrate only ^c , n/N (%)	2/6 (33.3)	3/6 (50.0)	15/60 (25.0)	9/36 (25.0)
	Normal ^d , n/N (%)	3/6 (50.0)	1/6 (16.7)	37/60 (61.7)	23/36 (63.9)
South Africa	Pneumonia ^b , n/N (%)	16/32 (50.0)	6/13 (46.2)	12/39 (30.8)	3/11 (27.3)
	Other infiltrate only ^c , n/N (%)	6/32 (18.8)	5/13 (38.5)	13/39 (33.3)	3/11 (27.3)
	Normal ^d , n/N (%)	10/32 (31.3)	2/13 (15.4)	14/39 (35.9)	5/11 (45.5)
Zambia	Pneumonia ^b , n/N (%)	35/84 (41.7)	15/26 (57.7)	25/64 (39.1)	7/30 (23.3)
	Other infiltrate only ^c , n/N (%)	16/84 (19.0)	3/26 (11.5)	11/64 (17.2)	6/30 (20.0)
	Normal ^d , n/N (%)	33/84 (39.3)	8/26 (30.8)	28/64 (43.8)	17/30 (56.7)
Kenya	Pneumonia ^b , n/N (%)	7/53 (13.2)	5/12 (41.7)	14/44 (31.8)	6/23 (26.1)
	Other infiltrate only ^c , n/N (%)	7/53 (13.2)	2/12 (16.7)	17/44 (38.6)	10/23 (43.5)
	Normal ^d , n/N (%)	39/53 (73.6)	5/12 (41.7)	13/44 (29.5)	7/23 (30.4)
Thailand	Pneumonia ^b , n/N (%)	3/16 (18.8)	3/7 (42.9)	6/31 (19.4)	4/19 (21.1)
	Other infiltrate only ^c , n/N (%)	6/16 (37.5)	1/7 (14.3)	4/31 (12.9)	2/19 (10.5)
	Normal ^d , n/N (%)	7/16 (43.8)	3/7 (42.9)	21/31 (67.7)	13/19 (68.4)
Bangladesh	Pneumonia ^b , n/N (%)	3/34 (8.8)	3/12 (25.0)	5/93 (5.4)	0/29 (0)
	Other infiltrate only ^c , n/N (%)	9/34 (26.5)	2/12 (16.7)	18/93 (19.4)	5/29 (17.2)
	Normal ^d , n/N (%)	22/34 (64.7)	7/12 (58.3)	70/93 (75.3)	24/29 (82.8)
Clinical					
Severe pneumonia ^e	Pneumonia ^b , n/N (%)	39/132 (29.5)	20/51 (39.2)	40/253 (15.8)	13/115 (11.3)
	Other infiltrate only ^c , n/N (%)	31/132 (23.5)	14/51 (27.5)	57/253 (22.5)	28/115 (24.3)
	Normal ^d , n/N (%)	62/132 (47.0)	17/51 (33.3)	156/253 (61.7)	74/115 (64.3)
Very severe pneumonia ^f	Pneumonia ^b , n/N (%)	26/93 (28.0)	14/25 (56.0)	30/78 (38.5)	11/33 (33.3)
	Other infiltrate only ^c , n/N (%)	15/93 (16.1)	2/25 (8.0)	21/78 (26.9)	7/33 (21.2)
	Normal ^d , n/N (%)	52/93 (55.9)	9/25 (36.0)	27/78 (34.6)	15/33 (45.5)
Fast breathing for age ^g	Pneumonia ^b , n/N (%)	64/222 (28.8)	32/73 (44.0)	70/328 (21.3)	24/146 (16.4)
	Other infiltrate only ^c , n/N (%)	45/222 (20.3)	16/73 (21.9)	76/328 (23.2)	34/146 (23.3)
	Normal ^d , n/N (%)	113/222 (50.9)	25/73 (34.2)	182/328 (55.5)	88/146 (60.3)
Lower chest wall indrawing	Pneumonia ^b , n/N (%)	65/225 (28.9)	34/76 (44.7)	70/331 (21.1)	24/148 (16.2)
	Other infiltrate only ^c , n/N (%)	46/225 (20.4)	16/76 (21.1)	78/331 (23.6)	35/148 (23.6)
	Normal ^d , n/N (%)	114/225 (50.7)	26/76 (34.2)	183/331 (55.3)	89/148 (60.1)
Hypoxemia ^h	Pneumonia ^b , n/N (%)	64/223 (28.7)	34/76 (44.7)	70/330 (21.2)	24/147 (16.3)
	Other infiltrate only ^c , n/N (%)	46/223 (20.6)	16/76 (21.1)	77/330 (23.3)	34/147 (23.1)

(Continues)

TABLE 3 (Continued)

Characteristic ^a	Chest radiographic result	Lung sound classification			
		Normal	Crackle only	Any wheeze	Wheeze only
HIV-infected or -exposed	Normal ^d , n/N (%)	113/223 (50.7)	26/76 (34.2)	183/330 (55.5)	89/147 (60.5)
	Pneumonia ^b , n/N (%)	65/225 (28.9)	34/76 (44.7)	70/331 (21.1)	24/148 (16.2)
	Other infiltrate only ^c , n/N (%)	46/225 (20.4)	16/76 (21.1)	78/331 (23.6)	35/148 (23.6)
Malnutrition (weight-for-age) ⁱ	Normal ^d , n/N (%)	114/225 (50.7)	26/76 (34.2)	183/331 (55.3)	89/148 (60.1)
	Pneumonia ^b , n/N (%)	65/224 (29.0)	33/75 (44.0)	70/330 (21.2)	24/148 (16.2)
	Other infiltrate only ^c , n/N (%)	45/224 (20.1)	16/75 (21.3)	78/330 (23.6)	35/148 (23.6)
Malaria parasitemia ^j	Normal ^d , n/N (%)	114/224 (50.9)	26/75 (34.7)	182/330 (55.2)	89/148 (60.1)
	Pneumonia ^b , n/N (%)	43/143 (30.1)	22/44 (50.0)	47/158 (29.7)	17/83 (20.5)
	Other infiltrate only ^c , n/N (%)	25/143 (17.5)	8/44 (18.2)	39/158 (24.7)	22/83 (26.5)
Anemia ^k	Normal ^d , n/N (%)	75/143 (52.4)	14/44 (31.8)	72/158 (45.6)	44/83 (53.0)
	Pneumonia ^b , n/N (%)	65/217 (30.0)	33/73 (45.2)	67/295 (22.7)	22/133 (16.5)
	Other infiltrate only ^c , n/N (%)	43/217 (19.8)	15/73 (20.5)	68/295 (23.1)	29/133 (21.8)
	Normal ^d , n/N (%)	109/217 (50.2)	25/73 (34.2)	160/295 (54.2)	82/133 (61.7)

Abbreviations: HIV, human immunodeficiency virus; PERCH, Pneumonia Etiology Research For Child Health.

^aNumerators are the number of children with the chest radiograph finding and denominators are the number of children with the lung sound classification of interest by characteristic.

^bWorld Health Organization-defined radiographic primary endpoint pneumonia with or without other infiltrate.

^cWorld Health Organization-defined other infiltrate only.

^dChest radiograph without radiographic pneumonia and without other infiltrate.

^eCough and/or difficult breathing with lower chest indrawing and no danger signs

^fCough and/or difficult breathing with at least one danger sign.

^gRespiratory rate ≥ 60 breaths/min <2 months of age, ≥ 50 breaths/min 2 to <12 months of age, ≥ 40 breaths/min >12 months of age.

^hRoom air oxygen saturation <90% in South Africa and Zambia (high altitude sites), <92% at all other sites, or on supplemental oxygen if a room air oxygen saturation.

ⁱ<-3 Z score weight-for-age.

^jMalaria testing was done in Kenya, Gambia, and Zambia.

^kHemoglobin <7.5 g/dl.

pneumonia, relative to normal lung sounds. However, neither of these associations reached statistical significance. The random effects sensitivity analysis in E-Table S2 suggests the fixed effect model results in Table 4 are robust to other conditions. In E-Table S3 we explored the performance of combinations of lung sounds in identifying radiographic pneumonia.

3.2 | Digitally recorded lung sounds and mortality

We also examined the association between digitally recorded lung sounds and 30-day mortality among PERCH cases by logistic regression (Table 5). We found that a model of wheezing, regardless of whether crackles were heard or not, compared to normal lung sounds, was associated with a lower odds of mortality (OR 0.37, $p = .02$) in children with severe WHO-defined pneumonia. After controlling for the demographic characteristics of sex, age, and study site the model was no longer statistically significant.

We additionally examined 30-day mortality by stratifying for pneumonia severity and digitally recorded lung sound results (Table 6) as well as for selected mortality risk factors (E-Table 4).

Wheezing, regardless of crackles, was associated with lower mortality among children with severe pneumonia (3.8% [9/238] vs. 9.1% [15/165], $p = .03$) (Table 6), children 1–11 months (7.3% [14/191] vs. 20.0% [40/200], $p < .01$) (E-Table S4), children without hypoxemia (3.0% [7/232] vs. 9.0% [17/189], $p = .01$) and children with anemia (9.1% [18/197] vs. 19.1% [38/199], $p < .01$) (E-Table S4). Among children without severe malnutrition, wheezing (regardless of crackles) was associated with lower mortality (6.5%, [19/299] vs. 13.9% [33/238], $p < .01$), while crackles only were associated with higher mortality (18.9% [10/53] vs. 8.8% [42/477], $p = .02$) (E-Table S4). In E-Table S5 we described case fatality for combinations of lung sounds and chest radiograph findings.

4 | DISCUSSION

This study examined the association of digitally recorded lung sounds with WHO-defined radiographic primary endpoint pneumonia and mortality among children 1–59 months old hospitalized with pneumonia from eight sites in six African and Asian countries participating in the PERCH Study. Using PERCH data we previously reported that

TABLE 4 Association between digitally recorded lung sounds and radiographic pneumonia^a

WHO clinical pneumonia severity, N = 491 ^b	Lung sounds ^c	Radiographic pneumonia, n/N (%) ^a	OR (95% CI)	p Value	aOR (95% CI) ^d	p Value
Severe (N = 334) ^{eb}	Reference	39/101 (38.6%)	1.00			
	Crackle only (no wheeze)	20/37 (54.1%)	1.87 (0.87, 4.00)	.10	2.13 (0.91, 4.96)	.07
	Wheeze only (no crackle)	13/87 (14.9%)	0.28 (0.13, 0.56)	<.01	0.35 (0.15, 0.82)	.01
	Any wheeze (with or without crackle)	40/196 (20.4%)	0.41 (0.23, 0.69)	<.01	0.63 (0.34, 1.15)	.13
Very severe (N = 157) ^f	Reference	26/78 (33.3%)	1.00			
	Crackle only (no wheeze)	14/22 (63.6%)	3.50 (1.30, 9.40)	.01	2.75 (0.87, 8.65)	.08
	Wheeze only (no crackle)	11/26 (42.3%)	1.47 (0.59, 3.64)	.40	1.45 (0.53, 3.93)	.46
	Any wheeze (with or without crackle)	30/57 (52.6%)	2.22 (1.10, 4.48)	.02	2.08 (0.97, 4.45)	.05

Note: Bolded values are statistically significant.

Abbreviations: aOR, adjusted odds ratio; CI, confidence interval; OR, odds ratio; PERCH, Pneumonia Etiology Research for Child Health; WHO, World Health Organization.

^aWHO-defined primary endpoint pneumonia with or without other infiltrate.

^bTotal cases with interpretable digitally recorded lung sounds and interpretable chest radiograph data with radiographic pneumonia or normal classifications.

^cReference of normal digitally recorded lung sounds.

^dModel adjusted for sex, age in months, and PERCH site.

^eCough and/or difficult breathing with lower chest indrawing and no danger signs

^fCough and/or difficult breathing with at least one danger sign.

TABLE 5 Association between digitally recorded lung sounds and mortality^a

WHO pneumonia severity (N = 618) ^b	Lung sounds ^c	Mortality, n/N (%) ^a	OR (95% CI)	p Value	aOR ^d (95% CI)	p Value
Severe (N = 403) ^e	Reference	12/125 (9.6%)	1.00			
	Crackle only (no wheeze)	3/40 (7.5%)	0.76 (0.20, 2.85)	.68	1.79 (0.48, 6.63)	.38
	Any crackle (with or without wheeze)	8/177 (4.5%)	0.45 (0.17, 1.12)	.08	1.19 (0.45, 3.10)	.72
	Wheeze only (no crackle)	4/101 (4.0%)	0.39 (0.12, 1.24)	.10	1.01 (0.30, 3.42)	.98
Very severe (N = 215) ^f	Any wheeze (with or without crackle)	9/238 (3.8%)	0.37 (0.15, 0.90)	.02	1.02 (0.39, 2.61)	.97
	Reference	24/110 (21.8%)	1.00			
	Crackle only (no wheeze)	8/25 (32.0%)	1.69 (0.64, 4.37)	.27	1.51 (0.50, 4.57)	.46
	Any crackle (with or without wheeze)	14/64 (21.9%)	1.00 (0.47, 2.11)	.99	1.05 (0.45, 2.41)	.91
	Wheeze only (no crackle)	7/41 (17.1%)	0.74 (0.29, 1.87)	.52	0.93 (0.34, 2.53)	.88
	Any wheeze (with or without crackle)	13/80 (16.3%)	0.70 (0.32, 1.46)	.33	0.75 (0.34, 1.67)	.48

Note: Bolded values are statistically significant.

Abbreviations: aOR, adjusted odds ratio; CI, confidence interval; OR, odds ratio; PERCH, Pneumonia Etiology Research for Child Health; WHO, World Health Organization.

^aDeath during hospitalization or <30 days after hospital discharge.

^bTotal cases with interpretable digital lung recordings and mortality data.

^cReference of normal digitally recorded lung sounds.

^dModel adjusted for gender, age in months, and PERCH site.

^eCough and/or difficult breathing with lower chest indrawing and no danger signs.

^fCough and/or difficult breathing with at least one danger sign.

TABLE 6 Case fatality ratio^a stratified by digitally recorded lung sounds and WHO pneumonia severity

Deaths and WHO pneumonia severity, n/N (%)	Crackle only (no wheeze)			Any crackle (with or without wheeze)			Wheeze only (no crackle)			Any wheeze (with or without crackle)		
	Yes N = 65	No N = 553	p Value	Yes N = 241	No N = 377	p Value	Yes N = 142	No N = 476	p Value	Yes N = 318	No N = 300	p Value
All	11/ 65 (16.9%)	58/ 553 (10.5%)	.14	22/ 241 (9.1%)	47/ 377 (12.5%)	.23	11/ 142 (7.7%)	58/ 476 (12.2%)	.17	22/ 318 (6.9%)	47/ 300 (15.7%)	<.01
Severe ^c	3/40 (7.5%)	21/ 363 (5.8%)	.72	8/ 177 (4.5%)	16/ 226 (7.1%)	.29	4/ 101 (4.0%)	20/ 302 (6.6%)	.46	9/ 238 (3.8%)	15/ 165 (9.1%)	.03
Very severe ^d	8/ 25 (32.0%)	37/ 190 (19.5%)	.18	14/ 64 (21.9%)	31/ 151 (20.5%)	.85	7/ 41 (17.1%)	38/ 174 (21.8%)	.67	13/ 80 (16.3%)	32/ 135 (23.7%)	.22

Note: Bolded values are statistically significant.

Abbreviations: HIV, human immunodeficiency virus; PERCH, Pneumonia Etiology Research for Child Health; WHO, World Health Organization.

^aDeath during hospitalization or <30 days after hospital discharge

^bTotal cases with interpretable digital lung recordings and mortality data

^cCough and/or difficult breathing with lower chest indrawing and no danger signs

^dCough and/or difficult breathing with at least one danger sign.

our recording techniques, ambient sound filtering, and interpretation methods were likely valid, achieving >90% interpretability, moderate between-listener agreement, and a high proportion of normal lung sound recordings among controls, compared to clinical pneumonia cases.¹⁴ We have also developed and internally validated a fully automated lung sound processing algorithm that can identify abnormal lung sounds from PERCH recordings with nearly 90% accuracy.¹³ In this research, we extend this initial body of work to show that human interpretation of digital lung recordings has important clinical relationships with radiographic pneumonia and pneumonia mortality. While these results are encouraging it is important to stress that they should be considered as only an initial step towards clinical or research application given the lack of a gold standard for pneumonia diagnosis and the inherent limitations of the WHO-defined radiographic pneumonia methodology, as discussed below. Additional research evaluating digital auscultation as a potential diagnostic tool for pediatric respiratory illnesses will be required before considering it for clinical implementation.

Although chest radiographs are considered the reference standard for pneumonia diagnosis among children, radiographic imaging exposes children to ionizing radiation.¹⁹ Furthermore, radiographic equipment is expensive, facility-based, and there is a lack of interpretation expertise in most LMICs.²⁰ All of these issues pose barriers to wide-scale implementation of chest radiography in LMICs. Digital stethoscopes that incorporate an automated lung sound processing algorithm, on the other hand, circumvent these obstacles and have the potential to be a community-based, noninvasive point-of-care pneumonia diagnostic. Understanding the relationships between lung recordings and radiographic pneumonia in LMICs is, therefore, crucial, but has yet to be rigorously studied.

After controlling for demographic characteristics we found that wheezing among children with WHO severe pneumonia (i.e., no WHO danger signs) is independently associated with a lower odds of radiographic pneumonia (OR: 0.38; 95% CI: 0.15, 0.92). In contrast, we also found a higher odds of radiographic pneumonia in children with lung recordings of crackles or wheezes and WHO very severe pneumonia (i.e., with danger signs), although these results did not reach statistical significance (95% CIs crossed 1.0). We may have observed qualitatively conflicting odds of radiographic pneumonia by pneumonia severity strata because children with more severe illness (i.e., WHO very severe disease) and wheezing may have more severely narrowed airways and greater airflow obstruction, both of which could subsequently result in hyperinflation and atelectasis (i.e., collapsed areas of lung parenchyma) on chest radiographs that could be secondarily infected with bacteria.²¹ A higher proportion of these more severely ill children may also have had primary bacterial pneumonia with alveolar consolidation present on imaging. Importantly, the WHO radiographic primary endpoint pneumonia does not differentiate between alveolar consolidation and atelectasis.^{16,20} Overall the results from this analysis indicate that lung recordings have potential for use as a pneumonia diagnostic among children.

We previously found wheezing to be the most common abnormal recorded lung sound heard among PERCH cases, identified in about

50% of children with WHO severe or very severe pneumonia.¹⁴ This study now suggests that wheezes heard on lung recordings are not only common but may be associated with a lower risk of mortality among children with certain characteristics. Specifically, wheezing children, with or without crackles, who also had either chest indrawing (i.e., WHO severe pneumonia), or had no severe malnutrition or no hypoxemia had lower case fatality compared to children without these characteristics. We also found that wheezing, with or without crackles, was associated with a lower odds of mortality among children with WHO severe pneumonia using simple logistic regression. However, this association lacked significance after adjustment, suggesting that other risk factors likely confound the wheezing-mortality relationship. This notion is further supported by our observation that among sicker children with WHO very severe pneumonia (i.e., with danger signs), lung sound recordings with crackles or wheeze also had no statistically significant associations with mortality. As mentioned previously, we also may have found wheezing to lack association with lower mortality among more severely ill children since more severe airway narrowing itself can lead to airflow obstruction, hyperinflation, atelectasis, ventilation-perfusion mismatch, and ultimately respiratory failure and death.²¹ Other studies in LMICs have published findings that children with WHO pneumonia and wheeze without danger signs also have lower mortality.^{22,23} These studies lend further validity to our work given their observations of the wheeze-mortality relationship were based on real-time interpretation of lung sounds from traditional acoustic stethoscopes.

Among the nine children with wheezing and WHO severe pneumonia who died in this study (Table 6), all had an additional risk factor for mortality that was identifiable at enrollment, suggesting that these children could be flagged as high-risk and treated accordingly. Taken together these findings imply that lower-risk wheezing children identified by digital auscultation could potentially be treated according to a different management algorithm than those without wheezing or risk factors for adverse outcomes. Given the emerging global crisis of antimicrobial resistance, strategies that safely reduce unnecessary antibiotic exposure are urgently required.⁷ Further research investigating whether digital auscultation may be an effective modality for achieving rational antibiotic use among carefully selected children with low-risk WHO pneumonia is needed.

This study has three important limitations. First, due to human resource constraints, participants were not sampled consecutively at three of the eight study sites. To evaluate whether selection bias may have affected our results, we compared PERCH digital auscultation participants to nonparticipants. We found that digital auscultation participants were less severely ill than nonparticipants since a lower proportion of participants, compared to nonparticipants, were based at the African study sites, had severe malnutrition, and had hypoxemia (E-Table S1). As a result, if any bias exists, we believe our results are biased toward more conservative inferences. The second main limitation to this study is that pneumonia has no true gold standard reference.²⁴ Although chest radiographs are considered the best current reference standard, they are not ideal given the interpretation of radiographic abnormalities is subjective and the

appearance of radiographic abnormalities can also lag behind clinical signs, potentially reducing sensitivity and delaying effective treatment. It is well known that normal chest radiographs can be present in children with signs consistent with clinical pneumonia, and this also occurred in PERCH, as 46% of children meeting WHO clinical pneumonia criteria had normal chest radiographs.²⁵ It is also important to note that the WHO radiographic primary endpoint pneumonia definition is not intended for clinical application, and this limits the clinical generalizability of these results. However, despite chest radiographs serving as the reference standard for pneumonia diagnosis there are few chest radiograph interpretation schemas used as widely as the WHO method. Although this interpretation approach was initially established for the evaluation of bacterial conjugate vaccine efficacy its application has been extended to epidemiologic research of child pneumonia in LMICs,¹⁶ including as the reference standard in the PERCH Study.⁵ It is important to note that not all experts agree with application of the WHO chest radiograph methodology for epidemiologic research due to its bias toward specificity rather than sensitivity, leading to underestimation of the public health burden of pneumonia. These results should be interpreted within this context. Despite the inherent limitations to chest radiographs in general and the WHO method itself, PERCH applied rigorous interpretation procedures to optimize interpretation reliability and case ascertainment, achieving 78% agreement between primary readers of radiographs (Cohen's kappa: 0.50), which was comparable to other studies using this WHO methodology.^{15,26} Lastly, all children enrolled into PERCH met clinical pneumonia criteria, which does not allow us to assess digital auscultation utility among children without clinical pneumonia. Such an evaluation is an important next step.

In summary, the results of this study suggest that digital lung recordings may have a future role in pediatric respiratory research and as a point-of-care respiratory diagnostic and prognosticating tool for children in LMICs. Essential next steps include evaluating the feasibility and decision-making impact of digital stethoscope use by both formal and informally trained health workers, evaluating it against other pneumonia reference endpoints other than chest radiography, assessing agreement between standard auscultation by experts with digital recorded lung sounds interpreted by either humans or automated algorithms, and externally validating the automated lung sound processing algorithm in other similarly vulnerable pediatric populations.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank the participants of the PERCH digital auscultation study, the PERCH staff, and the PERCH study group. The findings and conclusions in this report are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the views of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. We acknowledge Mr. Stavros Papadopoulos for his assistance processing and segmenting files, and to Ms. Azwi Mudau for conducting data collection activities in Soweto. Funding information: (1) Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation, Grant/Award Numbers: OPP1084309, OPP48968; (2) U.S. Department of Health and Human

Services, National Institutes of Health, Fogarty International Center, Grant/Award Number: K01TW009988; (3) U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, National Institutes of Health, National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute, Grant/Award Number: T32 HL072748-11.

CONFLICT OF INTERESTS

The authors declare that there are no conflict of interests.

ORCID

Eric D. McCollum  <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-1872-5566>

Daniel E. Park  <http://orcid.org/0000-0001-5139-5397>

Nicholas S. S. Fancourt  <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-1772-9960>

Charl Verwey  <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-1756-7068>

REFERENCES

- GBD 2017 Lower Respiratory Infections Collaborators. Quantifying risks and interventions that have affected the burden of lower respiratory infections among children younger than 5 years: an analysis for the global burden of disease study 2017. *Lancet Infect Dis.* 2017;20(20):60-79.
- World Health Organization. IMCI: the integrated approach. Geneva. 1997.
- World Health Organization. Guidelines for the Management of Common Childhood Illnesses: Second Edition. Geneva. 2013.
- World Health Organization. Integrated Management of Childhood Illness: Chart Booklet. Geneva. 2014.
- The Pneumonia Etiology Research for Child Health (PERCH) Study Group. Causes of severe pneumonia requiring hospital admission in children without HIV infection from Africa and Asia: the PERCH multi-country case-control study. *Lancet.* 2019;394:757-779.
- McCollum ED, Nambiar B, Deula R, et al. Impact of the 13-Valent pneumococcal conjugate vaccine on clinical and hypoxemic childhood pneumonia over three years in central malawi: an observational study. *PLoS One.* 2017;12:e0168209.
- Laxminarayan R, Duse A, Wattal C, et al. Antibiotic resistance—the need for global solutions. *Lancet Infect Dis.* 2013;13:1057-1098.
- Yaqub F. Rene theophile hyacinthe laennec. *Lancet Respir Med.* 2015;3:755-756.
- Gjllrup T, Bugge PM, Jensen AM. Interobserver variation in assessment of respiratory signs. Physicians' guesses as to interobserver variation. *Acta Med Scand.* 1984;216:61-66.
- Margolis P, Gadomski A. The rational clinical examination. Does this infant have pneumonia? *JAMA.* 1998;279:308-313.
- Grenier MC, Gagnon K, Genest J, Jr., Durand J, Durand LG. Clinical comparison of acoustic and electronic stethoscopes and design of a new electronic stethoscope. *Am J Cardiol.* 1998;81:653-656.
- Emmanouilidou D, McCollum ED, Park DE, Elhilali M. Adaptive noise suppression of pediatric lung auscultations with real applications to noisy clinical settings in developing countries. *IEEE Trans Biomed Eng.* 2015;62:2279-2288.
- Emmanouilidou D, McCollum ED, Park DE, Elhilali M. Computerized lung sound screening for pediatric auscultation in noisy field environments. *IEEE Trans Biomed Eng.* 2018;65:1564-1574.
- McCollum ED, Park DE, Watson NL, et al. Listening panel agreement and characteristics of lung sounds digitally recorded from children aged 1-59 months enrolled in the pneumonia etiology research for child health (PERCH) case-control study. *BMJ Open Respir Res.* 2017;4:e000193.
- Fancourt N, Deloria Knoll M, Barger-Kamate B, et al. Standardized interpretation of chest radiographs in cases of pediatric pneumonia from the PERCH study. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2017;64(suppl_3):S253-S261.
- Cherian T, Mulholland EK, Carlin JB, et al. Standardized interpretation of paediatric chest radiographs for the diagnosis of pneumonia in epidemiological studies. *Bull World Health Organ.* 2005;83:353-359.
- Crawley J, Prosperi C, Baggett HC, et al. Standardization of clinical assessment and sample collection across All PERCH Study Sites. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2017;64:S228-S237.
- Driscoll AJ, Karron RA, Morpeth SC, et al. Standardization of laboratory methods for the PERCH Study. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2017;64(Suppl 3):S245-S252.
- Frush DP, Perez MDR. Children, medical radiation and the environment: An important dialogue. *Environ Res.* 2017;156:358-363.
- Mahomed N, Fancourt N, de Campo J, et al. Preliminary report from the World Health Organisation Chest Radiography in Epidemiological Studies project. *Pediatr Radiol.* 2017;47(11):1399-3404.
- Papi A, Brightling C, Pedersen SE, Reddel HK. Asthma. *Lancet.* 2018;391:783-800.
- Reed C, Madhi SA, Klugman KP, et al. Development of the respiratory index of severity in children (RISC) score among young children with respiratory infections in South Africa. *PLoS One.* 2012;7:e27793.
- Hooli S, Colbourn T, Lufesi N, et al. Predicting hospitalised paediatric pneumonia mortality risk: an external validation of RISC and mRISC, and local tool development (RISC-Malawi) from Malawi. *PLoS One.* 2016;11:e0168126.
- Lynch T, Bialy L, Kellner JD, et al. A systematic review on the diagnosis of pediatric bacterial pneumonia: when gold is bronze. *PLoS One.* 2010;5:e11989.
- Fancourt N, Deloria Knoll M, Baggett HC, et al. Chest radiograph findings in childhood pneumonia cases from the multisite PERCH study. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2017;64:S262-S270.
- McCollum ED, Ahmed S, Chowdhury NH, et al. Chest radiograph reading panel performance in a Bangladesh pneumococcal vaccine effectiveness study. *BMJ Open Respir Res.* 2019;6:e000393.

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional Supporting Information may be found online in the supporting information tab for this article.

How to cite this article: McCollum ED, Park DE, Watson NL, et al. Digital auscultation in PERCH: Associations with chest radiography and pneumonia mortality in children. *Pediatric Pulmonology.* 2020;1-12. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ppul.25046>